

REMARKS

Very thanks for Examination's suggestion and thanks for finding some citations about the present invention, thereby, the applicant may know more information about the invention. This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the office action.

Indeed the citations disclose some features of the present invention, and the applicant agrees with these viewpoints, however applicant discovers that some features of the present invention are not wholly disclosed by the citations, which are claimed in the original specifications and especially drawings. Thereby, the applicant desires to get the patent rights of these features.

To overcome the rejection of the citations, the applicant cancel Claims 1 to 16, without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter thereof, and add new claims 17 to 18. Claim 17 is the combination of the original claims 1 and 2, and confine the real estate to a house, which is disclosed in the specification. Claim 18 is the combination of the original claims 7 and 8, and confine the real estate to a house, which is disclosed in the specification.

However in the new claim, we confine the claim scope of real estate to a house so as to narrow the scope of the present invention. However in the specification of the present invention, it has clearly that the real estate is a house. However the citation dose not disclose that the real estate is a house.

If there still is any error in the claims or specification, Applicant requests and authorizes Examiner to amend the claims of the present invention so that the claim and specification can match the requirement of U. S. Patent. Attentions of Examiner to this matter is greatly appreciated.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectively requested.

Respectfully submitted.

YU, SHIH-I
Dated: 04 / 22 / 2005

235 Chung – Ho Box 8-24

Taipei Taiwan R. O. C.